



Hunt Institute for Botanical Documentation
5th Floor, Hunt Library
Carnegie Mellon University
4909 Frew Street
Pittsburgh, PA 15213-3890
Telephone: 412-268-2434
Email: huntinst@andrew.cmu.edu
Web site: www.huntbotanical.org

The Hunt Institute is committed to making its collections accessible for research. We are pleased to offer this digitized item.

Usage guidelines

We have provided this low-resolution, digitized version for research purposes. To inquire about publishing any images from this item, please contact the Institute.

About the Institute

The Hunt Institute for Botanical Documentation, a research division of Carnegie Mellon University, specializes in the history of botany and all aspects of plant science and serves the international scientific community through research and documentation. To this end, the Institute acquires and maintains authoritative collections of books, plant images, manuscripts, portraits and data files, and provides publications and other modes of information service. The Institute meets the reference needs of botanists, biologists, historians, conservationists, librarians, bibliographers and the public at large, especially those concerned with any aspect of the North American flora.

Hunt Institute was dedicated in 1961 as the Rachel McMasters Miller Hunt Botanical Library, an international center for bibliographical research and service in the interests of botany and horticulture, as well as a center for the study of all aspects of the history of the plant sciences. By 1971 the Library's activities had so diversified that the name was changed to Hunt Institute for Botanical Documentation. Growth in collections and research projects led to the establishment of four programmatic departments: Archives, Art, Bibliography and the Library.

September 7, 1943

Dr. P. A. Lehenbauer,
Dept. of Biology,
Agric. Experiment Station,
Univ. of Nevada, Reno.

Dear Dr. Lehenbauer:

Early in 1942 I prepared a discussion of the genus *Salix* for the proposed Flora of Nevada. Owing to other pressures, I was unable to prepare the keys, based on both vegetative and floral characters, at that time. Early this year a long illness prevented. Now I am beginning work on the keys and such revision as may be needed.

Several copies of the manuscript were made at the 1942 writing and today it occurred to me that one might be of interest and help to you and your associates in advance of publication. Accordingly, I am (copy 2) inclosing a copy with this hope. Any suggestions concerning it will be gladly received and considered. Some additional collections are to be added. As soon as I can finish the keys, I will send you a copy.

Cordially yours,

Carleton R. Ball
Collaborator

copy 2 of mss. sent
copy of keys sent Sept 27

UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
RENO, NEVADA

DEPARTMENT OF BIOLOGY

Reno, Nevada.
Sept. 20, 1943.

Dr. Carleton R. Ball,
Extension Service, U.S.D.A.
Washington, D.C.

Dear Dr. Ball:

I thank you for the manuscript on the willow species in Nevada. It is a most useful piece of work and we shall make much use of it. We shall be happy to receive the keys when you have them ready.

Thanking you again and with my kindest personal regards, I am

Sincerely yours,

P. A. Lehenbauer
Prof. of Botany

Digitized by Hunt Institute for Botanical Documentation

Professor P. A. Lehenbauer,
Dept. Botany, Univ. of Nevada,
Reno, Nevada

September 27, 1943

Dear Professor Lehenbauer:

Your letter of Sept. 20 is just here. I finished the keys last Saturday and am glad to enclose a copy for inclusion with the willow manuscript. They are in two parts: A, based on floral characters (pp. 1-5), and B, based on vegetative characters (pp. 6-9).

I will be very glad if you can have them tried by your associates and students as opportunity permits, and let me know where and why they don't work properly. There is no hurry about this, as I shall be working on keys for the next two or three years. Immediately, however, I am preparing keys for Idaho willows, for Ray J. Davis, and these will contain most of the Nevada species and about 18 of the more northern species in addition.

Cordially yours,

Carleton R. Ball
Collaborator

5732

UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
RENO, NEVADA

Prof. P. A. Lehenbauer,
Dept. Botany, Univ. Nevada,
Reno, Nevada.

December 9, 1943

Dear Professor Lehenbauer:

Referring to our correspondence of last Sept. regarding the mss. on willows of Nevada, I learn from the National Arberetum that they will be unable to mimeograph it or any other part of the Nevada Flora for the duration, at best. The increasing demands on them, with decreasing staff and decreased supply of paper, make it impossible.

I presume that you are in the same boat, but I write merely to say that if you have any facilities for mimeographing it, I will be very glad to have it done. I may have noted previously that it does not seem necessary to double space the text and certainly not to use only one side of the page.

With best holiday wishes, Cordially yours,

Carleton R. Ball
Collaborator

Digitized by Hunt Institute for Botanical Documentation

5

over

3814 Jocelyn St., N.W.,
Washington 15, D.C.

Dr. F. A. Lehenbauer (or Dept. Head),
Dept. of Biology, Agric. Expt. Station,
University of Nevada, Reno, Nevada.

9 August, 1954.

Dear Professor Lehenbauer:

Back in 1943, I prepared a manuscript on the Salicaceae of Nevada but it was not then published. A carbon copy was sent to you at that time.

Now I am bringing it up to date on recent collections of Salix and checking on possible errors.

Under *Salix laevigata* Bebb, there is cited from Lincoln Co. ^{two} specimens cited as from Kiernan, Meadow Valley Wash, 1902, L. N. Goodding 636 and 647 (see bottom of page 11 if you have manuscript).

I cannot find "Kiernan" on any available map. From another source, I have reference to a place called "Kerman's" but do not know if it is the same as Kiernan, or where it is located.

If you or any of your associates can tell me the proper spelling of the location in Lincoln Co., I will greatly appreciate the favor.

Dr. W. Andrew Archer was at Reno some months ago and brought back all recent willow collections which I had not previously annotated. If you or others there have made any willow collections this summer, I will be glad to see them and include them in the revised manuscript.

Appreciating any assistance you can give me, I am

Cordially yours,

Carleton R. Ball.

See reply from Robert H. Miller, Oct. 28