



Hunt Institute for Botanical Documentation
5th Floor, Hunt Library
Carnegie Mellon University
4909 Frew Street
Pittsburgh, PA 15213-3890
Telephone: 412-268-2434
Email: huntinst@andrew.cmu.edu
Web site: www.huntbotanical.org

The Hunt Institute is committed to making its collections accessible for research. We are pleased to offer this digitized item.

Usage guidelines

We have provided this low-resolution, digitized version for research purposes. To inquire about publishing any images from this item, please contact the Institute.

About the Institute

The Hunt Institute for Botanical Documentation, a research division of Carnegie Mellon University, specializes in the history of botany and all aspects of plant science and serves the international scientific community through research and documentation. To this end, the Institute acquires and maintains authoritative collections of books, plant images, manuscripts, portraits and data files, and provides publications and other modes of information service. The Institute meets the reference needs of botanists, biologists, historians, conservationists, librarians, bibliographers and the public at large, especially those concerned with any aspect of the North American flora.

Hunt Institute was dedicated in 1961 as the Rachel McMasters Miller Hunt Botanical Library, an international center for bibliographical research and service in the interests of botany and horticulture, as well as a center for the study of all aspects of the history of the plant sciences. By 1971 the Library's activities had so diversified that the name was changed to Hunt Institute for Botanical Documentation. Growth in collections and research projects led to the establishment of four programmatic departments: Archives, Art, Bibliography and the Library.



TEL & PHONE:
LIMPSFIELD CHART 77.
STATION: OXTED S.RY.

STILEGATE,
LIMPSFIELD,
SURREY.

28 Jan/35

My dear Phillips

Many thanks for cheque
for £22.10/- I am very
glad you have been able
to stir up Carroll at last.
And sorry you have had
so much trouble. But
is the amount correct? I
rather think that the

deduction should have been
at 15%. And 25%.

The former cheque was for
£170 — the gross payment
being £200.

I cannot at the moment
put my hand on the letters
of agreement — which are in
town (I also think I
must have left your cheque
for £22.10p. there — so I
haven't paid it in yet — but

will be there on Wed. night
(I will put that right at once).

Yours sincerely
Alfred Rackham

I have a list of suggestions
that I am going to send Carroll
— little matters that could be
improved without trouble in the
event of a revival. I had
held them back but will now
send them (not that there's
much likelihood of a revival
— but you never know).