



Hunt Institute for Botanical Documentation
5th Floor, Hunt Library
Carnegie Mellon University
4909 Frew Street
Pittsburgh, PA 15213-3890
Telephone: 412-268-2434
Email: huntinst@andrew.cmu.edu
Web site: www.huntbotanical.org

The Hunt Institute is committed to making its collections accessible for research. We are pleased to offer this digitized item.

Usage guidelines

We have provided this low-resolution, digitized version for research purposes. To inquire about publishing any images from this item, please contact the Institute.

About the Institute

The Hunt Institute for Botanical Documentation, a research division of Carnegie Mellon University, specializes in the history of botany and all aspects of plant science and serves the international scientific community through research and documentation. To this end, the Institute acquires and maintains authoritative collections of books, plant images, manuscripts, portraits and data files, and provides publications and other modes of information service. The Institute meets the reference needs of botanists, biologists, historians, conservationists, librarians, bibliographers and the public at large, especially those concerned with any aspect of the North American flora.

Hunt Institute was dedicated in 1961 as the Rachel McMasters Miller Hunt Botanical Library, an international center for bibliographical research and service in the interests of botany and horticulture, as well as a center for the study of all aspects of the history of the plant sciences. By 1971 the Library's activities had so diversified that the name was changed to Hunt Institute for Botanical Documentation. Growth in collections and research projects led to the establishment of four programmatic departments: Archives, Art, Bibliography and the Library.

Wilmington Sept. 9 - 1891

My dear Rose

I will have laughs
at my last words about *Forkhammies*.
But I cannot see how the *colyledon*
can be called *convolvute*. What do you
say to this? Nor can I reconcile
the characters of the plant with the
Capparidaceae. At the same time
it is not a good *Euphorbiaceae*.
When it will finally settle I
cannot say. I don't seem to have
its proper alliance in my mind.
Have you got the fruit of the original

Species?

In regard to Delaware Experiment
Station at Delaware College I
have had good letters from Presi-
dent Raut and from Prof Chelton
the Botanical Professor (and I
believe the experiment station manager)
They will gladly accept your spe-
cimens and care well for them
They already have cases and
a small collection. I think
the specimens should be sent
to "The Delaware Experiment

station (Delaware College) Newark
Delaware. I will go down some
day & see what they have &
help them out all I can.

I cannot tell you how
much I have enjoyed going
over the Alamos & Arizona spe-
cimens. I am deeply grateful
for them.

Phacelium, *Docynia*
is the type species, *P. cisplatina* Benth
from South Brazil, &c.

About certain Alamos plants - N. 689.

"*Draperia major* Wrt" is totally unlike
{⁴⁰₁₀₀ *Palum* 1897 & 934 P.P. or called; what
about this?

698 called *Bontinea* *Alauesana* Vany in list
is *B. Palumii* in label - which is right

411 called *Rhochan* *capitata* in label and
in list is in specimens *Winkler* *heja* and
I suppose must be *E. palustris*

744 called *Lepidoschoa tenuifolia* Rose in list
and *L. filiformis*, Black in label; which is right.

Arizona plants

453 ^{is} *Anisacanthus* *Shuchii* in label. It is of course
(sic) *Rhus Toxicodendron*

528 *Brietonia* *Mijatii* is 52 ³/₄ in label. a
mistake in copying! of which there are
a good many. ²/₃ - *Sax* *Sodecaethum* is ³/₄ *42*
in label.

456 *Rapanea* *Abel-Mexicana*. but the plant
with this label is a *Platanus* (*P. Mijatii*)
which is not in list at all! Where is
it from?

Sincerely Yours

Wm. M. Aubrey