



Hunt Institute for Botanical Documentation
5th Floor, Hunt Library
Carnegie Mellon University
4909 Frew Street
Pittsburgh, PA 15213-3890
Telephone: 412-268-2434
Email: huntinst@andrew.cmu.edu
Web site: www.huntbotanical.org

The Hunt Institute is committed to making its collections accessible for research. We are pleased to offer this digitized item.

Usage guidelines

We have provided this low-resolution, digitized version for research purposes. To inquire about publishing any images from this item, please contact the Institute.

About the Institute

The Hunt Institute for Botanical Documentation, a research division of Carnegie Mellon University, specializes in the history of botany and all aspects of plant science and serves the international scientific community through research and documentation. To this end, the Institute acquires and maintains authoritative collections of books, plant images, manuscripts, portraits and data files, and provides publications and other modes of information service. The Institute meets the reference needs of botanists, biologists, historians, conservationists, librarians, bibliographers and the public at large, especially those concerned with any aspect of the North American flora.

Hunt Institute was dedicated in 1961 as the Rachel McMasters Miller Hunt Botanical Library, an international center for bibliographical research and service in the interests of botany and horticulture, as well as a center for the study of all aspects of the history of the plant sciences. By 1971 the Library's activities had so diversified that the name was changed to Hunt Institute for Botanical Documentation. Growth in collections and research projects led to the establishment of four programmatic departments: Archives, Art, Bibliography and the Library.

UNIVERSITY OF LONDON CLUB,

21, GOWER STREET, W.C.1.

Dear Sir David,

Mar. 25. 24

lest you might receive
a contrary impression, I should
like you to know that I am by
no means satisfied with conditions
at King's. Personally I am on
excellent terms with them all so
far as I am aware, but the views
of the majority with regard to the
development of the University appear
to me to be fundamentally retrograde
and contrary to the best interests
of scientific teaching. It would
be a great relief to me to be able
to get away to an institution

Where there is some chance for
growth and progress. I can
see no chance for either under
present conditions, and my
feeling is that their policy is
contrary to the welfare of
University Teaching in London,
so far as science is concerned.

Yours Sincerely

R. B. Bates