



Hunt Institute for Botanical Documentation
5th Floor, Hunt Library
Carnegie Mellon University
4909 Frew Street
Pittsburgh, PA 15213-3890
Contact: Archives
Telephone: 412-268-2434
Email: huntinst@andrew.cmu.edu
Web site: www.huntbotanical.org

The Hunt Institute is committed to making its collections accessible for research. We are pleased to offer this digitized version of an item from our Archives.

Usage guidelines

We have provided this low-resolution, digitized version for research purposes. To inquire about publishing any images from this item, please contact the Institute.

About the Institute

The Hunt Institute for Botanical Documentation, a research division of Carnegie Mellon University, specializes in the history of botany and all aspects of plant science and serves the international scientific community through research and documentation. To this end, the Institute acquires and maintains authoritative collections of books, plant images, manuscripts, portraits and data files, and provides publications and other modes of information service. The Institute meets the reference needs of botanists, biologists, historians, conservationists, librarians, bibliographers and the public at large, especially those concerned with any aspect of the North American flora.

Hunt Institute was dedicated in 1961 as the Rachel McMasters Miller Hunt Botanical Library, an international center for bibliographical research and service in the interests of botany and horticulture, as well as a center for the study of all aspects of the history of the plant sciences. By 1971 the Library's activities had so diversified that the name was changed to Hunt Institute for Botanical Documentation. Growth in collections and research projects led to the establishment of four programmatic departments: Archives, Art, Bibliography and the Library.



My Dear Sir

Surely an apology can be made
on your part for writing to me
on any subject that interests you. I
have no idea however to what you allude.
The only applica-
tion like what you mention, as far
as my memory serves me, was from
a chrysothamnus: I do not know. I have re-
cently unknown not uncommon. I
then may have been wrong — to write.
I had no intention.

With respect to the Phytol.

I had not seen a number of it for
a very long time (indeed I thought
it had been discontinued) until a
friend told me that issue contained
a review of the N.K. I presume
from the hint in your N. that some
critical article is to accompany
the announcements I sent to the
Editor of the Phytologist. If so
I must bow to it - for I fully
admit that I ought not to have
thought to anybody even such
a unimportant operation as

that of writing up a few columns
of history, the materials for which
I had prepared with much care

Respectfully
Very very sincerely

Kindly

A. Watson Esq

30 July 1846
Dr. Lindley