



Hunt Institute for Botanical Documentation
5th Floor, Hunt Library
Carnegie Mellon University
4909 Frew Street
Pittsburgh, PA 15213-3890
Telephone: 412-268-2434
Email: huntinst@andrew.cmu.edu
Web site: www.huntbotanical.org

The Hunt Institute is committed to making its collections accessible for research. We are pleased to offer this digitized item.

Usage guidelines

We have provided this low-resolution, digitized version for research purposes. To inquire about publishing any images from this item, please contact the Institute.

About the Institute

The Hunt Institute for Botanical Documentation, a research division of Carnegie Mellon University, specializes in the history of botany and all aspects of plant science and serves the international scientific community through research and documentation. To this end, the Institute acquires and maintains authoritative collections of books, plant images, manuscripts, portraits and data files, and provides publications and other modes of information service. The Institute meets the reference needs of botanists, biologists, historians, conservationists, librarians, bibliographers and the public at large, especially those concerned with any aspect of the North American flora.

Hunt Institute was dedicated in 1961 as the Rachel McMasters Miller Hunt Botanical Library, an international center for bibliographical research and service in the interests of botany and horticulture, as well as a center for the study of all aspects of the history of the plant sciences. By 1971 the Library's activities had so diversified that the name was changed to Hunt Institute for Botanical Documentation. Growth in collections and research projects led to the establishment of four programmatic departments: Archives, Art, Bibliography and the Library.

The Spores of Equisetum

The structure & the ~~history~~ development of the spores of Equisetum were very favourite subjects for study with the older botanists. Sachs, Sanio, Hofmeister, Russon, Tschisticoff, & Leitgeb have all contributed to this subject whilst of living botanists none has given us so valuable ^{an} account as Strasburger.

All are not agreed that the mature spore of Equisetum possesses four layers to its wall. On the extreme outside there is the spirally cleft wall which constitutes that curiously hygroscopic structure — the elater. Within this layer is a membrane which is usually spoken of as the "middle layer"; within this lies the "exospore"⁽¹⁾ whilst the innermost & last formed layer of all is the endospore.

When, however, we turn to the literature for information

(1) according to the interpretation which is given to the "middle layer" the "exospore" mentioned above corresponds to the whole or only to a part of the true exospore. As will be seen I regard it as the true exospore in its entirety.

regarding the origin + interpretation of these four layers of the Spore - coat we find a surprising diversity of opinion.

Without describing the opinions + labours of the different investigators in detail I may briefly summarize the views which have been expressed regarding the development of the wall of these Spores in the following manner:-

- (1) All four layers are formed by the Spore - protoplast in centripetal succession.
- (2) The outer (forming the Glar) + middle layers are derived from the Special-mother-cell wall, the exospore + endospore from the Spore - protoplast.
- (3) The outer layer is derived from the Special-mother-cell wall, the middle layer, the exospore + the endospore are formed by the Spore protoplast.
- (4) The outer layer is formed by the tapetal cytoplasm, the middle layer, the exospore + the endospore are formed by the Spore-protoplast.

I have recently re-examined the development of the spores of ~~Equisetum~~ two species of Equisetum (E. arvense & E. limosum) with the principal object of attempting to decide which of the above accounts is the correct one.

After a careful study of my preparations I have come to the conclusion that none of them completely expresses the facts & in the present note I will briefly ~~express~~ state the results of my study of this & a few other points in the development of these spores.

The Spore-mother cells form a solid mass of tissue in which the individual cells are separated from one another by delicate walls giving the reactions of pectic bodies. The tapetal cells form a continuous layer round the sporogenous tissue. ~~The~~ Very soon the Spore-mother-cells begin to separate from one another by the apparent splitting of the delicate walls between them. This splitting of the membrane

first becomes noticeable at the angles between several mother-cells. In the meanwhile the tapetal cells have lost their separate individuality & have become fused into a plasmodium. This tapetal plasmodium commences to penetrate into the interior of the Sporangium making its way between the separating Spore-mother-cells. It may be noted that ~~the~~ tapetal cytoplasm, free from nuclei, first advances into the interior of the Sporangium & that only after a short but appreciable interval the nuclei make their way along the cytoplasmic branches between the sporogenous cells. At about this stage the division of the Spore-mother-cells takes place. I have been able to confirm Osterhau's account of the development of the spindle during the prophase of the first meiotic division in every particular. The chromosomes proved too numerous & crowded

to enable me to count them with any exactness. The formation of these bodies from the Spirem can be followed with ^{comparative ease} ~~unassisted clearness~~ + is particularly interesting in the light of present day cytological discussions regarding this subject.

The Spirem can be seen from an early stage to be double, being composed of two parallel parts which usually lie very closely indeed together. This two-fold

Digitized by Hunt Institute for Botanical Documentation

character of the Spirem, although often obscured by the intimate approximation of the two component parts, ^{was} ~~can~~, however, ~~be detected at almost any stages in the post-Synaptic history of the Spirem~~ when the search ~~was~~ careful + thorough enough.

This double Spirem segments into the bivalent chromosomes in such a manner that the two constituent ^{chromosomes} elements lie side by side parallel with one another.

Although a ^{certain} ~~marked~~ polarity of the Spirem can be

seen at one period during this division & the thread is then thrown into a number of loops. No evidence could be found that the sides of these loops become approximated or that they ~~have~~^{have} any direct relation to the pairs of chromosomes which subsequently develop. I think there can be no doubt that the pairs of parallel chromosomes which ~~are developed~~ can be quite clearly seen in the later stages of the prophase & during the metaphase are derived from the segmentation of a sperium which consists of two parallel halves.

I have still, ^{to determine} ~~to determine~~ the very important point of whether the two halves of the sperium parallel elements of the sperium halves of the sperium are derived from the splitting of an originally single thread or by the approximation of two separate & distinct threads. It is not unlikely that the latter alternative will prove to be ~~the~~ the correct one.

4

The very important point, however, still remains to be determined, ~~of~~ whether the two parallel halves of the Spirem are derived from the splitting of an originally single thread or by the approximation of two separate + distinct threads.

In the light of what has been observed in other plants in which the chromosomes have been seen to originate from a double spirem + from certain, as yet not very conclusive, indications in Equisetum itself, we may expect the latter alternative to prove the correct one although it is a point which is exceedingly difficult to determine in the present instance.

So far as they go, therefore, ~~to~~ my observations speak very decidedly against the ~~occurrence~~ ^{development} of the chromosomes ^{of this plant} from the approximated sides of loops + they ~~far~~ agree much more nearly with the views of the Bonn ^{+ Louvain} Schools.

~~As a result~~

although this is a point which is exceedingly difficult to determine in the case of ~~these~~ under question.

So far as they go, therefore, my observations distinctly favour the views held by the Bonn & Rouvier schools of cytology regarding the development of the heterotypic chromosomes.

Another interesting feature which is very nicely shown in these nuclei is the fate of the chromosomes during the telophase of the division.

It will be recalled that until recently the most cytologists believed that ~~the~~ during the telophase of division the chromosomes became joined end to end & that the filament which was thus formed became gradually thinner & longer & coiled ~~through~~ in every direction through the nuclear cavity. The coils anastomosed with one another so that finally the chromatic contents of the nucleus formed a reticulum.

Grégoire & his pupils have, however, shown that in several cases at any rate there is no such end to end arrangement of the chromosomes at during the reconstruction of the daughter nuclei, but that the chromosomes become united by lateral branches which are span out between them & that at the same time they become more & more vacuolated. ~~so that the daughter nuclei, the~~
~~seems~~ The resting daughter-nucleus, therefore, possesses an alveolar-reticulate structure.

Digitized by eGangotri Institute for Botanical Documentation

The series of changes which the chromosomes undergo in ~~the~~ ~~case~~ during the reconstruction of the daughter-nuclei in Equisetum are quite like those described by Grégoire. At the conclusion of the anaphase the chromosomes are ^{seen to be} very closely packed together. After the nuclear membrane is formed the chromosomes begin to separate from one another but at certain points their soft semi-~~fluid~~ ^{fluid} substance appears to remain adherent & branches or connecting arms ^{are drawn out} ~~present~~ between
 ^ ^

than at these spots. As the nuclear cavity enlarges + the chromosomes become more & more widely separated from one another the branches between them become proportionally longer & gradually the substance of the chromosomes appears to be lost in the series of reticulations which are in this manner spun out.

From what has been said it will be seen that there is a complete reconstruction of the daughter-nuclei at the conclusion of the first mitotic division.

Digitized by Hunt Institute for Botanical Documentation

The second division calls for no special notice in this brief communication.

It may be mentioned here that I can find no sufficient evidence of the degeneration of sporogenous tissue to possess any theoretical significance. It is true that in a few

sections one or ~~two~~ perhaps two degenerating cells could occasionally be found but I am inclined to attribute their occurrence to peculiarities in the penetration of the fixing agent & I do not

W. J. H. ... to compare with the extensive degeneration of sporogenous tissue described by Prof. ...

consider that any importance can be attached to them. (1) At the conclusion of the second meiotic division the spore-tetrads are completely separated from one another & are enveloped in the massive tapetal plasmodium.

Further The young Spores (or pollen-grains) of the ~~large~~ majority of plants which have been examined are enclosed in temporary walls, which often of a mucilaginous nature, which the older botanists called the "Special-mother-cell walls" & which Dr. Strasburger has more accurately & concisely named the "Special-walls".

Digitized by Hunt Institute for Botanical Documentation

~~It has given me no little trouble to decide whether these walls occur round the spores of Equisetum.~~ Although the plasma-membrane limiting the spores of Equisetum often stains & rather deeply ^(to some extent) & simulates a membrane I have come to the conclusion, after a very careful examination of my preparations, that no Special-wall ^{is} developed in this plant.

~~It is interesting to observe that a certain relation~~

(1) I have seen nothing to compare with the extensive degeneration of sporogenous tissue described by Prof. Bower in Equisetum. I will discuss this matter more fully when I publish in a later communication an illustration of the special wall.

This fact is of some interest because several other instances occur in which a very massive tapetal plasmodium is associated with the feeble development or entire absence of the Special walls. The Ophioglossaceae furnish excellent examples of this relation between the two structures.

Arum maculatum, among pollen-bearing plants, is another instance of the association of an exceptionally massive tapetal plasmodium with comparatively feebly developed Special-walls.

Digitized by Hunt Institute for Botanical Documentation

In those plants, on the other hand, in which a secretion-tapetum occurs the Special-wall is ~~never~~ ^{seldom} found wanting & is usually well developed. ~~Lycopodium + Isoetes among the Pteridophyta + Spongia~~

Lycopodium, Isoetes + Spongia are all rather striking examples ~~of this co-relation~~ in which a secretion-tapetum is accompanied by massive Special-walls.

I believe that the

^{the} explanation of this relation is to be found in the necessity for ~~protecting the spores in the case of~~ providing protection for the young spores in those cases in which a secretion-tapetum exists.

The soft mucilaginous special-walls, which ^{usually} form a ^{more or less} massive investment to the spore-elements in sporangia provided with secretion-tapeta, ~~form~~ ^{serve as} a moist packing round & between the spores which shield these bodies from mechanical shocks & jars & which, above all, protect them from ^{too great loss of water.} ~~desiccation~~

Moreover, ^{the special-walls} whilst they carry out this protective function, ~~they~~ are sufficiently extensible ~~not to~~ ^{interfere with} permit after growth of the spore-protoplast & they do not interfere with the passage ~~through them~~ of the nutrient materials ^{required} for the developing spores.

In those sporangia in which a tapetal-platelet is ~~produced~~ formed at an early stage in development this ^{protoplasmic investment} ~~body~~ ^{entirely} fulfills the ~~protective function~~ sufficiently protects the

Young spores from mechanical injuries or desiccation to render the additional formation of a ^{massive} special-wall unnecessary.

The young spores of the tetrad separate from one another & lie, ~~still without any cell wall round them~~ ^{still without any cell wall round them} ~~the~~ completely enveloped in the tapetal plasma.

After a short time a ~~delicate~~ cell-membrane is developed round the spore-protoplast & ^{later} ~~about~~ ^{by a delicate lamellum} ~~is~~ ^{seen} to line the tapetal vacuole in which the young spore lies.

The future history of these walls shows that the membrane round the spore is the exospore whilst the ~~tapetal~~ ^{tapetal} ~~layer~~ ^{membrane} ~~formed~~ ^{formed} by the tapetum is the "middle" layer.

I differ from my predecessors, therefore, in ascribing the "middle layer" of these spore-walls to the secretory activity of the tapetal

Plasma. I can find absolutely no evidence to ~~show that a super~~ support the view that a superficial lamellum of the exospore is split off to form a "middle layer" or that this layer has any relation to the Spore-protoplast. Neither can it be derived from the transformation of the Special-walls, as some botanists have supposed, since this membrane does not occur in Equisetum. Following the subsequent history of these spore-walls we find that the tapetal activity produces another layer of cell-wall which is formed on the outside of the "middle layer" + which now separates this from the protoplasm of the tapetum. We can, with the greatest certainty observe that this new layer becomes the elater of the mature spore. The final layer of the Spore-coat to develop is the endospore which is produced within the Exospore by the Spore-protoplast. It will be seen, therefore, that the conclusion

Digitized by Hunt Institute for Botanical Documentation

to be drawn from these observations is that the
 exospore + endospore are ^{both} products of the spore-
 protoplast about the "middle layer" + the
 elater are successively formed by the lateral
 cytoplasm.

In conclusion I may point out that the ripe
 spore contains a very considerable quantity of
 chlorophyll in its protoplast + also that when
 these spores are heated with concentrated
 Sulphuric acid on a cover glass very pretty
 silicic skeletal skeletons are left behind.

I have given above a brief outline of some
~~of the~~ features in the development of these spores
 but a fuller account with illustrations will
 be published ^{later} shortly. ~~This study~~ These
 observations ~~for a portion of the~~ were greatly
 facilitated by a Government Grant.

Rudolf Beer B.Sc. F.L.S.