



Hunt Institute for Botanical Documentation
5th Floor, Hunt Library
Carnegie Mellon University
4909 Frew Street
Pittsburgh, PA 15213-3890
Contact: Archives
Telephone: 412-268-2434
Email: huntinst@andrew.cmu.edu
Web site: www.huntbotanical.org

The Hunt Institute is committed to making its collections accessible for research. We are pleased to offer this digitized version of an item from our Archives.

Usage guidelines

We have provided this low-resolution, digitized version for research purposes. To inquire about publishing any images from this item, please contact the Institute.

About the Institute

The Hunt Institute for Botanical Documentation, a research division of Carnegie Mellon University, specializes in the history of botany and all aspects of plant science and serves the international scientific community through research and documentation. To this end, the Institute acquires and maintains authoritative collections of books, plant images, manuscripts, portraits and data files, and provides publications and other modes of information service. The Institute meets the reference needs of botanists, biologists, historians, conservationists, librarians, bibliographers and the public at large, especially those concerned with any aspect of the North American flora.

Hunt Institute was dedicated in 1961 as the Rachel McMasters Miller Hunt Botanical Library, an international center for bibliographical research and service in the interests of botany and horticulture, as well as a center for the study of all aspects of the history of the plant sciences. By 1971 the Library's activities had so diversified that the name was changed to Hunt Institute for Botanical Documentation. Growth in collections and research projects led to the establishment of four programmatic departments: Archives, Art, Bibliography and the Library.

Southbury Conn Feb 27/96
Mr F J Coville

Patent -

Some of the
26th inst at hand which I
return to you as it may be of
assistance in the explanation
which I wish to make in
regard to the package which
I sent you on the 10th inst
containing 22 specimens
of seeds, 1 specimen of
Cornusnickia laevissides Lehmann
and one specimen of *Potentilla*
for identification, at your
request I had collected
this specimen for you during
the past season.

After making up the package

Mr C. Bissell⁽²⁾ asked as a favor
to be allowed to add one specimen
to which I of course did not object
. As I was about to send the
package, Mr Bissell was very
busy and requested me to
leave it at his place until
he had more leisure which
I readily did leaving it for
him to forward

I do not know what
Mr Bissell has written you
about it or what he included
in the same but 24 specimens
in that package are from
me and should be credited
to me.

As this matter has unfortunately
taken the time that it has
I should esteem it a great
favor if you would attach
my name to my part of the
collection and give credit
where credit is due

In fact - the ³¹ whole business
from my standpoint has a
peculiar appearance to say the
least. If I remember
aright I did not sign
my name as I should have
done to the specimen I
sent. but - probably overlooked
it - thinking that - all in the
package would be mine.

Hoping that this will
explain the matter satisfactorily
to you I remain

Very Respectfully
L. Andrews
Sourthern

Conn

P.S. Would like to inquire
if you wish me to collect
further for you during the
coming season. I made
numerous inquiries of the
farmers of this section and
obtained what information

I can find from other sources
I presume that there are
a good many species yet
to be found. One fact I
particularly noticed and
that was that different soils
made quite a difference in
plants that might be considered
weeds. Some species might
be considered ubiquitous while
others that are fond of sandy
soils made but little show
in a heavier soil and vice versa.

I have retained a list of
them. I have already sent
one if you wish should be
pleased to add to it this coming
season.

L A